

1653251702



Local IP addr defaults to Last IP address configed. This brks r-cmds

### Document Information Table

#### Submitter Text

[FIDR:00234]

Date: 1998.2.12  
 OS REVISION: LR(IC23E)  
 SYSTEM: T600  
 SUBSYSTEM: Network  
 DESCRIPTION: r-command fail by permission check when cluster  
 is running

Problem

=====

When invoking r-command (such as rlogin and rcp) or cm-command of SG (such as cmrunnode) to other nodes from the node where package is running and relocatable IP is set, it sometime fails with "Permission denied".

If adding relocatable IP address to rhost. or cmclnodelist for this error case, r- or cm- command succeed.

#### Verifier Text

This problem occurred in NEC/NTT FITS Project which is very big (more than 200 T600 systems) and important for both HP and NEC.

As we consider that this problem happens with Network. SG lab engineer's analysis and comment are as below.

Analysis

=====

When this problem happens, the output of "netstat -mn" on the node (where relocatable IP is set) is as follows:

Routing tables

Dest/Netmask	Gateway	Flags	Refs	Use	Interface	Pmtu
localhost	localhost	UH	0	44548	lo0	4136
HA0BN011	HA0BN011	UH	0	6	lan4	4136
HA0BR011	HA0BR011	UH	0	0	lan4:1	4136
HA0BR020	HA0BR020	UH	0	0	lan8:1	4136
HA0BP001	HA0BP001	UH	0	13064	lan0	4136
HA0BR010	HA0BR010	UH	0	0	lan0:1	4136
HA0BN020	HA0BN020	UH	0	24	lan8	4136
HA0BN040	HA0BN040	UH	0	188	lan1	4136
10.145.148.48	HA0BN040	U	2	0	lan1	1500

&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;

10.145.143.0	HA0BR010	U	3	0	lan0:1	4352
10.145.143.0	HA0BP001	U	3	0	lan0	4352

&gt;&gt;&gt;&gt;

10.145.143.128	HA0BR011	U	3	0	lan4:1	4352
10.145.143.128	HA0BN011	U	3	0	lan4	4352
10.145.146.0	HA0BR020	U	3	0	lan8:1	65280
10.145.146.0	HA0BN020	U	3	0	lan8	65280
10.145.145.128	HAB0LG00	UG	0	0	lan4	4352
127.0.0.0	localhost	U	0	0	lo0	4136
default	HAB0LG00	UG	0	0	lan4	4352

```
>>>> point shows the network route for the I/F which matches to
the hostname (= HA0B001). When the problem happens, the entry
for relocatable IP is located upper the entry of stationary IP.
```

```
Comment from SG lab
=====
```

At first, I contact MC/SG lab since this problem happens with MC/SG pre-release version for HP-UX 11.0. SG lab engineer could reproduce this problem without SG on HP-UX 11.0 using IP aliasing features.

```
> Although this problem was seen with SG, I consider it is networking
> TCPIP issue (out side of SG). If so, we should go through the normal
> HP-UX 11.0 support process to accelerate the resolution.
> How do you consider ?
```

I agree completely. I've attached to this message a transcript which shows the issue completely outside of ServiceGuard (editorial comments have been added to the transcript proceeded with >>). It relates to ServiceGuard only in so much as we use the IP aliasing capability to implement the concept of a "relocatable IP".

```
> I consider this problem may also happen with official MC/SG A.11.01.
> Do you agree ? If so, probably we can go through the normal HP-UX 11.0
> support process since SG A.11.01 was already officially released.
```

Yup, you will see this behavior on HPUX 11.00 based systems so it will affect SG A.11.01 as well.

```
Reproduction in SG lab
=====
```

```
>>
>> This is the 10.2 based system. When we start, here are
>> the assigned IP's and the route table.
>>
```

```
newman:/tmp> netstat -in
Name Mtu Network Address Ipkts Ierrs Opkts Oerrs Coll
ni0* 0 none none 0 0 0 0 0
ni1* 0 none none 0 0 0 0 0
lo0 4608 127 127.0.0.1 778727 0 778727 0 0
lan0 1497 15.13.168.0 15.13.169.221 57319108 0 797373 2 12937
lan1 1497 192.168.1 192.168.1.1 65850 0 66257 0 0
lan1 1497 af17: 21.01 65850 0 66257 0 0
lan2* 1500 none none 215 0 218 0 0
```

```
newman:/tmp> netstat -rn
Routing tables
Destination Gateway Flags Refs Use Interface Pmtu
PmtuTime
15.13.169.221 127.0.0.1 UH 0 315750 lo0 4608
127.0.0.1 127.0.0.1 UH 0 461367 lo0 4608
192.168.1.1 127.0.0.1 UH 0 1610 lo0 4608
default 15.13.168.1 UG 1 166918 lan0 1497
15.13.168.0 15.13.169.221 U 10 592030 lan0 1497
192.168.1 192.168.1.1 U 0 1038 lan1 1497
```

```
>>
>> Now we check connectivity to the remote system. This system
>> has our IP address (192.168.1.1) in its rhosts. file.
>>
```

```
newman:/tmp> remsh 192.168.1.2 date
Wed Feb 18 19:25:26 PST 1998
```

```
>>
>> That works fine so now add an IP address onto lan1 and show the
>> new state of the IP's and route table. Note there are no new
>> route table entries.
>>
```

```
newman:/tmp> ifalias lan1 add 192.168.1.101
newman:/tmp> netstat -in
Name Mtu Network Address Ipkts Ierrs Opkts Oerrs Coll
ni0* 0 none none 0 0 0 0 0
nil* 0 none none 0 0 0 0 0
lo0 4608 127 127.0.0.1 778761 0 778761 0 0
lan0 1497 15.13.168.0 15.13.169.221 57320778 0 797522 2 12937
lan1 1497 192.168.1 192.168.1.1 65861 0 66270 0 0
lan1 1497 af17: 21.01 65861 0 66270 0 0
lan1 1497 192.168.1 192.168.1.5 65861 0 66270 0 0
lan1 1497 192.168.1 192.168.1.101 65861 0 66270 0 0
lan2* 1500 none none 216 0 218 0 0
```

```
newman:/tmp> netstat -rn
Routing tables
Destination Gateway Flags Refs Use Interface Pmtu
PmtuTime
15.13.169.221 127.0.0.1 UH 0 315760 lo0 4608
127.0.0.1 127.0.0.1 UH 0 461391 lo0 4608
192.168.1.1 127.0.0.1 UH 0 1610 lo0 4608
default 15.13.168.1 UG 1 167065 lan0 1497
15.13.168.0 15.13.169.221 U 10 592034 lan0 1497
192.168.1 192.168.1.1 U 0 1048 lan1 1497
```

```
>>
>> Now retry the remsh to make sure it still works. As can be seen,
>> there is no change in connectivity
```

```
newman:/tmp> remsh 192.168.1.2 date
Wed Feb 18 19:26:53 PST 1998
newman:/tmp> exit
```

```
>>
>> Now we try the same experiment on a 11.00 based system (although I
>> suspect we'll get the same results on a 10.3 based system).
>>
>>
>> First the IP addresses and route table.
>
```

```
bermese[58]% netstat -in
Name Mtu Network Address Ipkts Opkts
lo0 4136 127.0.0.0 127.0.0.1 3865766 3865766
lan3 1500 15.13.168.0 15.13.171.235 21595565 9664250
lan2 1500 192.6.15.0 192.6.15.12 3080017 2513790
lan1 1500 192.8.15.0 192.8.15.12 3372648 1996403
```

```
bermese[59]% netstat -rn
Routing tables
Dest/Netmask Gateway Flags Refs Use Interface Pmtu
127.0.0.1 127.0.0.1 UH 0 3865773 lo0 4136
192.6.15.12 192.6.15.12 UH 0 7298 lan2 4136
192.8.15.12 192.8.15.12 UH 0 4 lan1 4136
15.13.171.235 15.13.171.235 UH 0 5402719 lan3 4136
192.6.15.0 192.6.15.12 U 2 0 lan2 1500
192.8.15.0 192.8.15.12 U 2 0 lan1 1500
15.13.168.0 15.13.171.235 U 2 0 lan3 1500
default 15.13.171.235 U 0 0 lan3 1500
127.0.0.0 127.0.0.1 U 0 0 lo0 4136
```

>>

>> Now the check for remsh capability. Again, the remote node has our  
>> IP address (192.8.15.12) in its rhosts. file.  
>>

```
bermese[60]% remsh 192.8.15.11 date
Wed Feb 18 19:21:04 PST 1998
```

>>

>> Now we add an IP address to lan1 (using the new streams way). Note  
>> that a new route associated with this new IP address gets added  
>> and that it comes before the route entry for the 192.8.15.12 address.  
>>

```
bermese[61]% ifconfig lan1:1 inet 192.8.15.101
```

```
bermese[62]% netstat -in
```

Name	Mtu	Network	Address	Ipkts	Opkts
lo0	4136	127.0.0.0	127.0.0.1	3866112	3866112
lan3	1500	15.13.168.0	15.13.171.235	21601516	9668348
lan2	1500	192.6.15.0	192.6.15.12	3080187	2514082
lan1	1500	192.8.15.0	192.8.15.12	3372829	1996687
lan1:1	1500	192.8.15.0	192.8.15.101	0	20

```
bermese[63]% netstat -rn
```

Routing tables

Dest/Netmask	Gateway	Flags	Refs	Use	Interface	Pmtu
127.0.0.1	127.0.0.1	UH	0	3866265	lo0	4136
192.6.15.12	192.6.15.12	UH	0	7300	lan2	4136
192.8.15.12	192.8.15.12	UH	0	6	lan1	4136
15.13.171.235	15.13.171.235	UH	0	5405618	lan3	4136
192.8.15.101	192.8.15.101	UH	0	0	lan1:1	4136
192.6.15.0	192.6.15.12	U	2	0	lan2	1500
192.8.15.0	192.8.15.101	U	3	0	lan1:1	1500
192.8.15.0	192.8.15.12	U	3	0	lan1	1500
15.13.168.0	15.13.171.235	U	2	0	lan3	1500
default	15.13.171.235	U	0	0	lan3	1500
127.0.0.0	127.0.0.1	U	0	0	lo0	4136

>>

>> Now we recheck the remsh command using exactly the same command  
>> line as we did just a moment ago. Note that this fails. The  
>> supposition is that the source address used for this was the new IP  
>> that we just added (192.8.15.101) since its route entry came first.  
>> This IP is not in the rhosts. file on the remote node.  
>>

```
bermese[64]% remsh 192.8.15.11 date
remshd: Login incorrect.
```

>>

>> Now remove the IP address and verify we get remsh capability back.  
>>

```
bermese[65]% ifconfig lan1:1 inet 0.0.0.0
```

```
bermese[66]% remsh 192.8.15.11 date
```

```
Wed Feb 18 19:23:07 PST 1998
```

```
bermese[67]% exit
```

>>

>> Clearly there is a change in behavior between 10.2 based systems  
>> and 11.00 (although I assume 10.3 based systems behave the same way  
>> as 11.00 based systems).  
>>

Request

=====

This problem happens in big NEC/NTT project called FITS.  
Please fix this problem and make patch ASAP.

\*\*\*\*\*

I entered this SR for tracking purpose and describe the problem.  
I will open WTEC call for this problem very soon, so please cooperate  
with the lab to fix the defect immediately.  
When you need to contact me please send message to both  
<asano@jpn.hp.com> and <sprc@jpn.hp.com>, since I might be working in  
NEC's office.

Masahiro Asano  
(asano@jpn.hp.com)

#### **Marketing Text**

February 23, 1998 - Scott Millward

I am submitting this to the lab on Akio's behalf.

The lab has been working on a fix and they need this SR. I  
moved this SR from Arpa Service to Internet Transport team.

#### **Lab Text**

DTS defect #INDaa29894 for project "net.bsd\_arpa               "  
DTS Version Fixed is "b.11.rv       "

#### **Problem Text**

When an IP address is added as a secondary interface -- e.g.  
when a ServiceGuard (SG) package runs -- one of two errors  
can result when certain conditions are met:

1. Using an r-command (e.g. rlogin, rcp) or an SG  
cm-command results in a "Permission denied" error if  
the secondary IP address is not in the rhosts. file on  
the remote system. This affects commands and  
applications that bind to INADDR\_ANY.
2. SG loses its heartbeat and detects a spurious  
"failure" if the secondary IP address is a duplicate  
of one of the remote system's IP addresses, a  
configuration error. This happens when the system  
must send an ARP request to locate the remote system.

#### **Cause Text**

The problem is caused by the LIFO order of added routes  
internally.

At MR, routes were added in FIFO order. However, this broke SG's  
heartbeat during switchover because the primary interface route would no  
longer be first after it is switched over. If switchover took too long  
(as it might for large numbers of secondary IP addresses), SG would  
detect a spurious failure.

The fix, in the first post-MR patch, was to add routes in LIFO  
order, which is generally consistent with 10.20 and earlier. However, a  
strict LIFO ordering causes routes added for secondary IP addresses to  
overshadow the primary IP route for the same subnet.

Thus, secondary IP addresses are used for the source IP address  
in packets sent over a socket bound to INADDR\_ANY. And secondary IP  
addresses are also used as the source IP address in ARP requests.  
duplicate secondary IP addresses are used in ARP requests.

This is especially problematic for SG environments because each  
HA application might add one or more secondary IP addresses. HA  
application use these almost as a remote "name" of an application.

Secondary IP addresses are added and removed each time an HA application is started and stopped.

This makes it impossible for a remote systems to maintain rhosts. files to reflect the dynamic identify of incoming packets from SG systems.

Note: This is not a problem in 10.20 because, apparently, this LIFO ordering does not apply to secondary IP addresses added with ifalias. (I'm not exactly sure why.)

**Fix Text**

Fixed in 11.00. Nother fixes needed.